

Spin-Wave-Spin-Wave Interaction and the Thermodynamics of the Heisenberg Spin Chain

Michael Teitelman*

Department of Solid State Physics

Institute for Applied Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences

603600, Nizhny Novgorod, 46 Uljanov St., RUSSIA

(February 1, 2008)

Abstract

The low-temperature free energy of the spin S quantum Heisenberg ferromagnetic chain in a strong magnetic field is obtained in a two-particle approximation by using exact solution of two-spin-wave problem. The result is beyond the perturbation theory because it incorporates the both bound and scattering state contributions, and the scattering effect is essential as well as the bound state one. In particular the main temperature renormalization of an exchange constant is found to be linear in temperature instead $T^{3/2}$ corresponded to the perturbation theory result.

Typeset using REVTeX

*email: teitel@appl.nnov.su

The low-dimensional spin systems show many special features in the thermodynamics. Some of them are the result of a large number of excitations there, for example the destruction of a long-range order that well-known Mermin and Wagner theorem states¹. Also these features are often coupled with the failure of the free-spin-wave based consideration for the low-dimensional systems. In this communication we would like to note that the exchange interaction of even two spin waves in Heisenberg chain shows an effect which is beyond perturbation theory. In fact this property is connected with well-known peculiarity of low-dimensional quantum mechanical problem such as the bound state formation and the strong scattering at a long-wave limit in a weak potential². Though as spin $S \rightarrow \infty$ it is a weak coupling limit the perturbation theory is not correct for the long-wave excitations which dominate at the low temperatures. The consideration of the $1/S$ corrections was noted early to be essential in low-dimensional spin systems^{3,4}.

We explore pure exchange Heisenberg ferromagnetic spin chain with arbitrary spin S and a strong magnetic field in a limit of low temperatures. The Hamiltonian is the following

$$H = -J \sum_{\langle i,j \rangle} \mathbf{S}_i \mathbf{S}_j - h \sum_i S_i^z. \quad (1)$$

In the first term we sum up the different pair of the nearest neighbor sites where quantum spins $\mathbf{S}_i$ are located. When $S = 1/2$ this system is solved by Bethe Ansatz method⁵. As S is larger there is not an exact solution. On the other hand it is supposed the perturbation theory is correct as $S \rightarrow \infty$ ⁶. We show below this statement is wrong for a spin chain. Other known methods also do not allow consider specific features of low-dimensional systems^{7,8}.

The energy of a ferromagnetic spin system caused an applied magnetic field depends on a number of excitations only. That allows us to consider separately the states with one, two spin deviations and so on. We are interesting the correction to the free energy due to interaction which arises from two-spin-wave sector at first. The two-spin-wave problem is exactly solved for arbitrary spin S ⁹. The energy spectrum of two spin waves in the finite chain of size N follows from the eigenvalue equation⁹

$$D(p, q) = 1 - \frac{1}{N} \sum_k \frac{2J \cos(k)(\cos(k) - \cos(p/2))}{2S \cos(p/2)(\cos(k) - \cos(q))} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $k = 2\pi n/N$, $n = 0, 1, \dots, N-1$, and p is center mass momentum of two-spin-wave state. The energy spectrum of the state is parametrized by q as follows

$$E(p, q) = 4SJ(1 - \cos(p/2) \cos(q)) + 2h. \quad (3)$$

Let us consider function D vs q at the fixed center mass momentum p . While the chain is finite $D(q)$ is the meromorphic function with N poles and N zeros, where the poles correspond to free spin waves and the zeros correspond to real energy levels. As $N \rightarrow \infty$ function D changes its analytical properties. In the limit of a large N it gives the isolated zero beyond continuous spectrum and a cut presented scattering state. In this limit the difference between scattering state energy levels and the free-spin-wave energy levels is $\sim 1/N$. Just this small value gives a perturbation of the free energy by spin-wave-spin-wave scattering, because the number of scattering states is $\sim N^2$ and therefore the scattering contributes in the order N .

The finite sum in (2) is calculated directly and we obtain

$$D(p, q) = 1 - \frac{1}{2S} \left(1 - \frac{\cos(q)}{\cos(p/2)} \right) \left(1 + \cot(q) \cot\left(\frac{Nq}{2}\right) \right). \quad (4)$$

The state out of continuum corresponds imaginary parameter q . We use $q = i\alpha$. Then as $N \rightarrow \infty$ the Eq.(4) gives the bound state condition which is the same as in the ref.⁹.

$$1 - \frac{1}{2S} \left(1 - \frac{\cosh(\alpha)}{\cos(p/2)} \right) (1 - \coth(\alpha)) = 0. \quad (5)$$

In the long-wave limit we obtain $\alpha = (p/2)^2/4S + O(p^4)$. Thus the coupling energy is $E_b(p) - E(p, 0) = -4SJ(p/2)^4/32S^2$.

To find the perturbation spectrum of the continuum states we use $q = 2\pi(n + \Delta)/N$ where n is integer and Δ characterizes the energy level shift. Then from the Eq.(4) we obtain the equation for Δ

$$1 - \frac{1}{2S} \left(1 - \frac{\cos(q)}{\cos(p/2)} \right) \left(1 + \cot(q) \cot(\pi\Delta(q)) \right) = 0. \quad (6)$$

Therefore

$$\Delta(q) = \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{\pi} \arctan \left\{ \tan(q) \left(\frac{2S}{1 - \frac{\cos(q)}{\cos(p/2)}} - 1 \right) \right\} \quad (7)$$

The function $\Delta(q)$ is essentially different in the case when $S = 1/2$ or $S > 1/2$. This function is periodic for $S > 1/2$ and multivalued for the half spin. In the last case $\Delta(\pi) - \Delta(0) = 1$, that provides correct reduction of the number of states for $S = 1/2$.

After elementary transformations by keeping the terms order N we obtain the spin-chain free energy f which forms from one- and two-spin-wave states by the temperature T

$$-\frac{f}{T} = N e^{-h/T} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} e^{-\varepsilon_1(k)/T} - \frac{N}{2} e^{-2h/T} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dp}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} dq \frac{d\Delta}{dq} e^{-\varepsilon_2(p,q)/T} + \frac{N}{2} e^{-2h/T} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dp}{2\pi} e^{-\varepsilon_b(p)/T}, \quad (8)$$

where $\varepsilon_1(k) = 2SJ(1 - \cos(k))$ and $\varepsilon_2(p, q) = 4SJ(1 - \cos(p/2) \cos(q))$ are one- and two-spin-wave energy and $\varepsilon_b(p)$ is the bound state energy without the magnetic field term. The factor 1/2 in the two-spin-wave terms follows from the permutation symmetry of this state. We note zero q state is not realized as follows from the Eq.(6).

We calculate the scattering term using the Eq.(7) and bound state term using the bound state energy from the Eq.(5). Then we obtain

$$-\frac{f_{scatt}}{NT} = e^{-\frac{2h}{T}} \left\{ -\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^{1/2} \left[1 + \frac{2\pi}{16} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right) \left(1 + \frac{3}{(2S)^2} \right) + \frac{2\pi\sqrt{2}}{16(2S)} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{4}{2S} - \frac{15}{2(2S)^2} \right) \right] + O(T^{5/2}) \right\}; \quad (9)$$

$$-\frac{f_{bound}}{NT} = e^{-\frac{2h}{T}} \left\{ \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^{1/2} + \frac{2\pi}{16\sqrt{2}} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^{3/2} \left(1 + \frac{3}{(2S)^2} \right) + O(T^{5/2}) \right\}. \quad (10)$$

We note that the bound and scattering contributions are the same order. The free-spin-wave theory gives

$$-\frac{f_{FSW}}{NT} = -\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \ln(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1(k)/T}) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{dk}{2\pi} \left(e^{-h/T - \varepsilon_1(k)/T} + \frac{1}{2} e^{-2h/T - 2\varepsilon_1(k)/T} + \dots \right). \quad (11)$$

Thus the deviation from free-spin-wave free energy is

$$-\frac{f - f_{FSW}}{N} = T \left\{ \frac{2\pi}{32\sqrt{2}} \frac{3}{(2S)^2} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^{3/2} - \frac{2\pi}{32(2S)} \left(\frac{T}{4\pi SJ} \right)^2 \left(1 + \frac{4}{2S} - \frac{15}{2(2S)^2} \right) \right\} e^{-\frac{2h}{T}} + O(T^{7/2}) e^{-\frac{2h}{T}}. \quad (12)$$

We note this temperature expansion of the free energy does not contain the T^2 term. It is in agreement with the results of the exactly solved Heisenberg system for spin $1/2$ ¹⁰. The term T^3 which corresponds to the perturbation theory is also presented. It is interesting to note how this term depends on spin value S . When $S = 1/2$ it has the same sign as a main contribution and for all others spins it has opposite sign.

It is interesting to consider the temperature renormalization of an exchange parameter which characterizes the temperature correction to the energy spectrum. As defined this renormalization reduces the real free energy to the free-spin-wave form. So we obtain

$$\frac{\Delta J}{J} = -\frac{3T}{16\sqrt{2}J(2S)^3} e^{-\frac{h}{T}} \left(1 + \frac{2h}{3T + 2h} \right). \quad (13)$$

Thus the spin-wave-spin-wave exchange interaction gives a linear temperature factor in the effective exchange parameter. A similar result follows from the consideration of a classical spin system. On the other hand since the leading temperature term contains extra factor $1/S$ then in a large spin limit at the fixed temperature it will be suppressed and other contributions will become more essential. This fact means that low-temperature expansion is divergent when spin is large. It is easy to see the using of the $1/S$ expansion for the exact scattering spectrum in the Eq.(7) gives the divergences in the expression for the free energy. It explains the failure of the perturbation theory for a spin chain.

REFERENCES

- ¹ N.D.Mermin and H.Wagner, Phys.Rev.Lett.**17**, 1133(1966).
- ² L.D.Landau and E.M.Lifshitz, "Quantum Mechanics"; Pergamon Press.
- ³ Y.A.Kosevich and A.V.Chubukov, Zh.Eksp.Teor.Fiz.**91**, 1105(1986) [Sov. Phys. JETP **64**, 654 (1986)] ; D. V. Khveshchenko and A. V. Chubukov, Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **93**, 1904 (1987) [Sov. Phys. JETP **66**, 1088 (1987)] .
- ⁴ R.P.Erickson and D.L.Mills, Phys.Rev.B **46**, 861 (1992); R.W.Wang and D.L.Mills, Phys. Rev. B **48**, 3792 (1993-I).
- ⁵ H.A.Bethe, Z. Phys. **71**, 205 (1931).
- ⁶ T.Holstein and H.Primakoff, Phys.Rev. ser.2 **58**, 1098 (1940).
- ⁷ M.Takahashi, Prog.Teor.Phys.Suppl. **87**, 233(1986).
- ⁸ D.P.Arovas and A. Auerbach, Phys. Rev. B **38**, 316 (1988); A. Auerbach and D.P. Arovas, J. Appl. Phys. **67**, 5734 (1990).
- ⁹ M.Wortis, Phys. Rev. **132**, 85 (1963).
- ¹⁰ J.D.Johnson and B.M.McCoy, Phys.Rev. A **6**, 1613(1972).